

①
③

SHEEL KESH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH

AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition NO. 1004 /2006

SERVICE MATTER-S.B.

PETITIONER

: ✓ Mahesh Kumar Janokar
S/o. Late Shri Udal Janokar
Aged about 36 years
R/o. Karbala Road, Behind City
Hospital Bilaspur, Tahsil and
District Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 1082/06
Present: 10/01/06
dated: 28/2/06
Sole petitioner

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- ✓ 1. The State of Chhattisgarh
Through : its Secretary
Department of Labour
D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
- ✓ 2. The Secretary
Ministry of Finance
Department of Finance
D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
- ✓ 3. The Labour Commissioner
Office at Avanti Vihar, Near
Anmol Apartment, Telebandha
Raipur (C.G.)
- ✓ 4. The Deputy Director
Audyogic Swasthya Avam Suraksha
(Industrial Health & Scurity)
Opp. SBI, High Court Bilaspur
(C.G.)



RECEIVED-COPY
28/2/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

4

// 2 //

WRIT PETITION U/A 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

आदेश पत्रक
मामला क्रमांक W.P. 1004/06 सन 2006
विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	3-4-2006 Shri R.M. Solapurkar & Shri Hemant Solapurkar, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Advocate, for the State. Application for urgent hearing is allowed. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is serving as Peon in the office of respondent no.4. Counsel further submits that the petitioner has not been regularized though he is qualified for the post. Counsel also submits that the petitioner served the notice for demand of justice on 26/9/2005 (Annex.P/5) and as per Annex.P/6 dated 25/10/2005 respondent no.3/Labour Commissioner informed that the case of the petitioner was sent to the Finance on 19/5/2005 for according permission and thereafter reminder was also issued on 9/9/2005 but the State has not responded. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no justifiable reason for keeping the matter pending. State counsel is not in a position to submit any explanation. He however submits that the State would do the needful in the matter. On perusal of the record it is apparent that the matter was referred to the Finance but the State has not responded. Let the required steps be taken and the matter be decided positively within two months from the date of production of the copy of this order before the competent authority of the State Government. The action taken and the order passed by the State Government would be communicated to the petitioner. In case any grievance remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law including filing of the petition afresh. In view of the above, this petition stands disposed of at this stage with liberty. Certified copy as per rules. Copy to the State counsel.	

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

6-4-06

11/9/09